Measuring leaf area index from colour digital image of wheat crop
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ABSTRACT

Leaf area index (LAI) is an important physiological trait that determines solar radiation interception and thus biomass. In this study leaf area index (LAI) was estimated from vertical gap fraction derived from top-of-canopy digital colour photography of wheat canopies. An improved vegetation index, Excess Green minus Excess Red (ExG-ExR) was compared to the commonly used Excess Green (ExG), Excess Red (ExR) and normalized difference (NDI) indices. A histogram-based threshold technique was used to separate green vegetation tissues from background soil in order to derive the canopy vertical gap fraction. LAI derived from the ExG-ExR, ExG indexed image was comparable to the LAI measured using the commercial plant canopy analyzer (LAI-2200, LI-COR Inc., USA) (R² = 0.68 and 0.66 for ExG-ExR and ExG, respectively) with RMSE of 0.63 and 0.79, respectively. However, NDI was overestimated while Ex R was found to be underestimated LAI as compared with that measured using the commercial plant canopy analyzer (R² = 0.47 and 0.35 for NDI and ExR, respectively) with RMSE of 4.09 and 2.19, respectively. Thus, digital photography based ExG-ExR method can be used as low cost, non-destructive high through put method for assessing LAI, early vigour and gap fraction of wheat and potentially other cereal crops.
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Leaf area index (LAI) of plants determines radiation interception, latent and sensible heat fluxes, and CO₂ exchange between the canopy and the atmosphere as it determines the size of the plant–atmosphere interface. LAI is used as a key input parameter in many crop growth simulation and radiative transfer models. LAI and crop cover fraction are needed for in situ monitoring of crop growth conditions and for developing and validating remote sensing products (Canisius et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2010; Liu and Pattey, 2010). Moreover, measuring the temporal dynamics of LAI is used to calibrate initial crop growth model parameters for predicting biomass (Guerif and Duke, 1998, 2000). Further measurement of LAI also gives an estimate of early vigour which is an important trait that determines biomass accumulation under normal and stress conditions.

Direct methods of LAI measurements are the most accurate but they are destructive, time-consuming, labour-intensive and low throughput (Jonckheere et al., 2004). LAI is a dynamic parameter, depends on species, agronomic conditions and developmental stage. Thus, for capturing the nature of spatio-temporal variations in LAI over large number of genotypes over large areas throughout a growing season, alternative high throughput non-destructive methods are required. Faster non-destructive measurements can be made with indirect optical methods using commercial equipment such as the AccuPAR/LAI ceptometer (Decagon Devices, 2014, WA) or the LAI-2200 plant canopy analyzer (LI-COR Inc., USA, 2009), or by means of hemispherical photography (Liu et al., 2013). The advantages of digital photography over other commercially available non-destructive methods are that the conditions required operating a digital camera place less restriction on radiation conditions, affordable, amendable to automation, easy to use with minimal training and the recorded images can be stored on a computer for later review. This allows more intensive acquisition of crop information over space and time. Moreover, estimation of LAI from digital photography through image thresholding technique has the ability to distinguish between photosynthetic and non-photosynthetic tissues (Chen et al., 1997; Kucharik et al., 1998; Barclay et al., 2000; Stenberg et al., 2003; Garrigues et al., 2008). So the LAI derived from digital photography is the actual LAI contributing to photosynthesis. Most indirect methods estimate the effective plant area index (PAI) rather than actual leaf area index (LAI) as a result of the contribution of non-photosynthetic tissues, which results
in overestimation of LAI (Macfarlane et al., 2007). This technique for estimation of LAI is more useful for tall canopies like forest where the direct destructive measurement of LAI is difficult to obtain (Chianucci and Cutini, 2013, Garrigues et al., 2008 and Pueschel et al., 2012). Garrigues et al. (2008) found digital hemispherical photography (DHP) as the most robust optical technique in terms of its low sensitivity to illumination conditions, ability to capture gap fraction over short canopy and independence from ancillary information on canopy optical properties. Keeping these points in view, a study was undertaken to retrieve the leaf area index of wheat crop using colour digital image.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study area**

The experiment was conducted during 2013-14 with 10 genotypes laid in a randomized block design taking 3 replications in the experimental farm of Division of Plant Physiology, Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, located at 28°38’23” N latitude and 77°09’27” E longitude with altitude of 228.6 meter above mean sea level.

**Image acquisition**

Images were acquired using a Canon Powershot G9 (12.1 megapixel, 4000x3000 resolution, sensor size 25.4 x 43.18 mm and focal length 35-210 mm with 6x optical zoom) digital camera under natural sunlight at solar noon at 40, 70, 90 and 110 days after sowing of wheat crop from 10 different genotypes with 3 replications. Digital camera was mounted one meter above the canopy and the photos were taken looking vertically downward between 11 a.m. and 1 p.m. The colour images were recorded in jpeg format and downloaded to desktop computer for subsequent processing.

The LAI measurements were made at 40, 70, 90 and 110 days after sowing using a plant canopy analyzer (LAI-2200, LI-COR Inc., USA) generally when the sun was low on the horizon. This instrument uses a radiative transfer model to calculate LAI from measurements of intercepted solar radiation. LAI was measured with the sun to backside of the instrument operator and a 90° view cap (270° open) was used to block operator’s shadow on the sensor. Each transect consisted of one above canopy reading, followed by 4 below-canopy readings made at even intervals across a row.

**Colour indices**

Different colour indices were derived from digital photograph using red (R), Green (G) and Blue (B) channels and are listed as below.

The normalized difference vegetation index (NDI) by Perez et al. (2000) uses only green (G) and red (R) channels and is given as

\[
NDI = \frac{G-R}{G+R} \tag{1}
\]

Woebbecke et al., 1995 proposed excess greenness index (ExG)

\[
ExG = 2G-R-B \tag{2}
\]

An alternate excess red vegetative index (ExR) was proposed by Meyer et al. (1998) as

\[
ExR = 1.4(R-B) \tag{3}
\]

An improved index, ExG-ExR given by Meyer and Neto, (2008) was also used in this study.

Where, R, G and B represent the intensity levels recorded by the red, green and blue channels of the digital camera.

Methodology of generating grey scale images of different indices from digital colour image is given in Fig. 1.

**Thresholding method**

Thresholding is applied to turn a gray-scale image into a binary image by applying a threshold value. A gray-scale value above the threshold is set to one (white color) and a value equal to or below the threshold is set to zero (black color). The key to this method is to select an appropriate threshold value for the task. In this work, we have used a histogram based thresholding technique. Histograms are calculated for the indexed digital photograph and then smoothed wherever required with moving average technique to remove noise. The next step is to determine a threshold from the histogram. For ExG-ExR, ExG and NDI indexed images, the pixels of crop cover elements have a larger greenness and are represented on the higher side of the histogram but the reverse is true for ExR indexed images (Fig. 2). This procedure was implemented using digital image processing software ENVI (version 4.8) software.

**Estimation of LAI from gap fraction**

The LAI derived from optical instruments by measuring the gap fraction can be calculated by inverting Beer’s law:

\[
P_0(\theta) = e^{-G(\theta)\Omega(\theta)\frac{LAI}{cos\theta}} \tag{4}
\]
where $P_\theta$ is the canopy gap fraction, $G(\theta)$ is the foliage projection coefficient for the plane perpendicular to direction $\theta$, and $\Omega(\theta)$ is the foliage clumping index at zenith angle $\theta$. LAI is the leaf area index, including foliar and woody materials. The clumping index, $\Omega(\theta)$, is the ratio of effective plant or leaf area index to the actual plant or leaf area index, with $\Omega(\theta)$ equal to unity indicating a random canopy, $\Omega(\theta)$ greater than unity implies an evenly distributed canopy, and $\Omega(\theta)$ less than unity represents a canopy with clumped foliage. For agricultural applications, Demarez et al. (2008) found that the use of the clumping index improves LAI measurements for corn but it also causes systematic LAI overestimation for wheat and sunflower. The cosine term in this equation can be omitted because it equals 1 at $\theta = 0^\circ$.

Assuming the foliage is azimuthally uniform and spatially randomly distributed, the expression for LAI is given by inverting equation (4) as

$$LAI = \frac{-\cos(\theta) \ln P_\theta(\theta)}{G(\theta)} = \frac{-\ln P_\theta(\theta)}{k(\theta)} \tag{5}$$

Where, $k(\theta)$ is canopy extinction coefficient.

When a photograph is taken looking vertically downward ($i.e., \theta = 0^\circ$), vertical gap fraction can be directly measured by calculating the proportion of background pixels (including non-green leaf materials) to the total pixels within the frame of the photo. A spherical LIDF is considered a good first-order approximation for crop canopies, in which case $G$ is equal to 0.5 at any direction (Goudriaan, 1988). LAI is estimated from the vertical gap fraction obtained from digital photography looking vertically downward, assuming spherical LIDF as follows:

$$LAI = -2 \ln[P_\theta(\theta)] \tag{6}$$

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

LAI and gap fraction

The LAI, irrespective of genotypes, increased from 40 to 90 DAS followed by a decline at harvest (130 DAS) (Fig.3). Leaf senescence with the dying of lower leaves during later part of the crop growth cycle caused a reduction in LAI after 90 DAS (Sinclair, 1994). The gap fraction estimated from ExG-ExR indexed image shows opposite trend of LAI profile (Fig.3). At the initial stage the gap fraction was high due to poor canopy coverage, then it decreased to minimum...
Fig. 2: Determination of the threshold for image segmentation: (a) ExG-ExR, (b) ExG, (C) ExR and (d) NDI

Fig. 3: Profile of (a) LAI measured by canopy analyzer and (b) gap fraction estimated from ExG-ExR indexed image
Measuring LAI of wheat from colour digital image

at 90 DAS and again it increased with the drying of lower leaves at 130 DAS. It showed greater variation among the genotypes indicating promise of better discrimination of genotypes for rate of senescence. Irrespective of the indices used, the measured LAI and estimated gap fraction was found to follow a logarithmic relation with a high level of significance ($p<0.001$) (Fig. 4). The gap fraction showed a decreasing trend with increase in LAI. Nielsen et al., (2012) reported an increasing exponential trend of crop cover fraction with LAI for wheat crop. Liu and Pattey, (2010) also reported a logarithmic relationship between LAI measured with the LAI-2000 and the vertical gap fraction derived from digital photographs.

**Extinction coefficient**

The extinction coefficient (the $(1/\text{Slope})$ of LAI
measured by Canopy analyzer vs Vertical gap fraction when the intercept is set to as zero) derived from ExG-ExR and ExG indexed images were equal to 0.47 and 0.45, which was close to the assumed value of 0.5 for a spherical LIDF. But the extinction coefficient derived from NDI indexed image was found to be much higher than assumed value (1.04), while it was under estimated in case of ExR indexed image (0.21).

Relationship between measured and estimated LAI

LAI derived from the ExG-ExR and ExG indexed images were comparable to the LAI measured using commercial plant canopy analyzer (\(R^2 = 0.68\) and 0.66 for ExG-ExR and ExG, respectively) with RMSE of 0.63 and 0.79, respectively (Fig.5). Whereas NDI over estimated, while ExR under estimated LAI (\(R^2 = 0.47\) and 0.35 for NDI and ExR, respectively) with RMSE of 4.09 and 2.19, respectively.

CONCLUSION

In this study, commonly available digital camera is used to record plant canopy images. Colour visible image processing technique (ExG-ExR) used in this study has shown a good potential to estimate LAI of wheat crop. Thus we propose digital photography can be used for estimating LAI and gap fraction of wheat crop as this approach is non-destructive, high throughput, low cost and provides opportunity for achieving the images for later analysis. Further, estimation of LAI using commercial plant canopy analyzers requires sufficiently large plot size. Often in large scale germplasm evaluation and evaluation of early generation breeding population, it is not possible to use large plot size. The image based LAI estimation method developed in this study can be used effectively for estimation of plants in few rows. Thus, this method will be useful for breeders and plant physiologist interested in large scale germplasm evaluation and evaluation of early generation breeding population.
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